Simulation of complex transport of nanoparticles around a tumor using tumor-microenvironment-on-chip
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A B S T R A C T

Delivery of therapeutic agents selectively to tumor tissue, which is referred as “targeted delivery,” is one of the most ardently pursued goals of cancer therapy. Recent advances in nanotechnology enable numerous types of nanoparticles (NPs) whose properties can be designed for targeted delivery to tumors. In spite of promising early results, the delivery and therapeutic efficacy of the majority of NPs are still quite limited. This is mainly attributed to the limitation of currently available tumor models to test these NPs and systematically study the effects of complex transport and pathophysiological barriers around the tumors. In this study, thus, we developed a new in vitro tumor model to recapitulate the tumor microenvironment determining the transport around tumors. This model, named tumor-microenvironment-on-chip (T-MOC), consists of 3-dimensional microfluidic channels where tumor cells and endothelial cells are cultured within extracellular matrix under perfusion of interstitial fluid. Using this T-MOC platform, the transport of NPs and its variation due to tumor microenvironmental parameters have been studied including cut-off pore size, interstitial fluid pressure, and tumor tissue microstructure. The results suggest that T-MOC is capable of simulating the complex transport around the tumor, and providing detailed information about NP transport behavior. This finding confirms that NPs should be designed considering their dynamic interactions with tumor microenvironment.

© 2014 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Targeted delivery of drugs and imaging agents to tumors can significantly improve the efficacy of cancer treatment and diagnosis by minimizing systemic toxicity and non-specific accumulation at non-targeted tissues [1,2]. Recent development of nanotechnology enables synthesis of numerous types of nanometer size structures, collectively called “nanoparticles” (NPs), as delivery vehicles for targeted delivery. These NPs include liposomes, polymer micelles, dendrimers, drug nanocrystals, nanorods, nanotubes, and drug–polymer conjugates [3,4]. Many of these NPs were designed exploiting the difference in cut-off pore size between normal and tumor vasculature, so called “Enhanced Permeation and Retention” (EPR) effect [5,6]. Although improved delivery efficacy has been reported using these NPs, only about 5% of drugs and imaging agents administered can be delivered to the target tumor [7,8]. This limited delivery is thought to be caused by complex transport processes after systemic administration and pathophysiological barriers around tumors. These processes include blood flow-driven transport of the NPs, NP–endothelium interactions, extravasation, interstitial transport and cellular uptake as reviewed elsewhere [4,9]. During this series of transport processes, the elevated interstitial fluid pressure (IFP), lack of functional lymphatic vessels, dense extracellular matrix (ECM) microstructure and high cell packing density pose transport barriers to the tumors. In order to achieve successful targeted delivery, NPs need to be designed in consideration with all these complex transport processes and barriers [4,10].

Currently available tumor models, however, are limited to properly represent these complex transport processes. Most widely used tumor models are 2D cell monolayers and tumor spheroids [11–13]. These models are generally easy to handle and reproducible, but lack several key features of tumor microenvironment [14]. These architectural and environmental differences further affect the cellular difference in both gene expression and drug resistance [15,16]. One of the key deficiencies is the fluid dynamics relevant to the tumor microenvironment [17]. Thus, various cancer cell cultures on microfluidic platforms have been developed including 2D cell monolayers on microchannels [18,19], cells in 3D matrices [14,20,21], and spheroids in microchannels [22]. In addition, several recent studies are reported to impose chemical gradients and perfusion of interstitial fluid [23,24].

Small animal models have been valuable platforms to characterize the in vivo behavior of the NPs, but the models only provide end results
with limited mechanistic explanation. Moreover, xenograft models often fail to predict human outcomes due to the largely unknown scaling factors of extrapolation [25,26]; the mismatch between human cells and mice matrix environments [27,28]; the difficulties to simulate the heterogeneity of tumor microenvironmental parameters [29]; and the inability to independently control these parameters. Thus, a new model system is greatly desired, in which the tumor microenvironmental parameters can be systematically and independently controlled, but at the same time the dynamic interactions among the fluids, ECM, cells and NPs are maintained.

In this study, a new microfluidic engineered tumor model, named "tumor-microenvironment-on-chip" (T-MOC), was developed to recapitulate the key features of complex transport of drugs and NPs within the tumor microenvironment. The model was a three-dimensional (3D) microfluidic platform culturing human breast cancer cells (MCF-7) and microvascular endothelial cells (MVECs) in 3D tissue architecture. This model system was also tested to systematically characterize the effects of pathophysiological conditions of tumors on the NP transport. The results were further discussed to establish a quantitative and mechanistic understanding of the complex transport NPs. The capability of the developed model was also discussed.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Microfabrication of the T-MOC

The T-MOC has a 3D structure formed by stacking two layers of microchannels with a porous membrane sandwiched between the layers. The top layer has a 300 μm wide channel simulating the capillary of the tumor vasculature, where NP-suspended fluid will flow along the channel at a physiologically relevant velocity and pressure. The bottom layer includes an interstitial channel with a 1 mm by 2.7 mm central chamber for tumor cells and ECM and two 300 μm wide side channels serving as lymphatics. The top and bottom layer channels have 50 and 100 μm thicknesses, respectively.

The T-MOC was fabricated via a two-step photolithography technique. Briefly, SU-8 photoresist was spin-coated on silicon dioxide (SiO₂) wafers, then baked. The wafer was then exposed to UV light through masks with channel configurations of top and bottom layers of the T-MOC. After the post-baking procedure, the wafers were immersed into SU-8 developer solution and rinsed with isopropyl alcohol. Then, a mixture of polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) and a curing agent was poured on the silicon wafers (i.e., the mold) then baked. After polymerization, the PDMS layers were peeled off from the mold. The PDMS layers were cleaned, and the inlet and outlet ports were punched. A polycarbonate membrane (Cycloplex, Whatman) was treated with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane solution and dried, and then bonded between the top and bottom PDMS layers. Additional details of the fabrication and assembly of T-MOC components are provided in Fig. S-1 in supplementary information.

2.2. Cell and reagents

A human breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) was cultured in DMEM/F12 (Invitrogen, NY, USA) supplemented with fetal bovine serum (FBS), L-glutamine, Penicillin/Streptomycin, and insulin. To mimic the 3D tumor tissue, the MCF-7 cells were seeded in type I collagen solution (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA) to create tumor tissues with varying cell and collagen concentrations. The concentrations used in this study were 1 × 10⁶, 1 × 10⁷, 2 × 10⁷, and 1 × 10⁸ cells/ml, and 3 and 6 mg/ml of collagen, respectively. The collagen solution was prepared as described previously [30]. The 50 μl of un-polymerized cell-laden collagen solution was loaded to the tumor interstitium microchannel. The collagen-loaded T-MOC was placed in an incubation stage (1 h at 37 °C) for polymerization. After polymerization, the T-MOC was perfused with culture media and further incubated at 37 °C and 5% CO₂ conditions.

In order to prepare the functional endothelium on the T-MOC, human microvascular endothelial cells (Life Technologies) were seeded and cultured along its capillary channel when applicable. Briefly speaking, the membrane of the capillary channel was coated with Matrigel (BD Science) to mimic the basement membrane. First, stock solution of Matrigel was diluted at a 1:10 ratio and introduced to the channel. Then, T-MOC chip was incubated at 37 °C for 10 min, and then washed with cold PBS three times. After the coating, MVECs were collected and suspended in full culture medium at a concentration of 1 × 10⁷ cells/ml. The cell suspension was infused along the channel, and the cell-loaded chip was incubated at 37 °C for 2 h for attachment. After confirming the attachment, the cells were cultured under slow shear flow exerting shear stress on the cells (~0.1 dyne/cm²) up to 3 days.

2.3. NP transport on the T-MOC

In order to mimic on T-MOC the complex hydraulic pressure conditions observed in tumors in vivo, the microfluidic device was pressurized by applying different pressures at capillary, interstitial and lymphatic channels. Pressurization was achieved by introducing columns of culture media to the inlet ports of the device. Different pressure configurations were obtained by varying the heights of the culture media reservoirs. In particular, pressures between 5 and 40 mm Hg were applied at both ends of interstitial channel while 5 mm Hg was applied to the four lymphatic channel inlet ports. The applied capillary pressure was approximately 20 mm Hg, consistent with the physiological conditions. To facilitate the transport of NPs along the capillary, a small pressure differential was applied to the ends of the capillary channel (20 mm Hg to 19.25 mm Hg). The resulting average capillary fluid velocity was measured to be 0.3 mm/s. Once the pressurization was completed, fluorescent NPs with various diameters (100, 200, and 500 nm, Duke Scientific, Inc.) were introduced to one of the capillary channel culture media reservoirs to obtain a final particle concentration of 10¹¹ particles/ml. Subsequently, the NP solution was observed to enter and move across the capillary channel while also being transported across the membrane and into the interstitial channel.

Time-lapse fluorescence images were acquired during this process by an inverted microscope (IX71, Olympus, Japan) using a 10× objective. Imaging was conducted every 10 min across a 12-hour span.

NP concentration profiles across the channel at each time point was determined by averaging the fluorescence intensity in x-direction. Particle concentration was then estimated using a linear calibration curve obtained under the similar imaging conditions (Fig. S-2). Tumor accumulation was represented by average particle concentration in the interstitium excluding the region underneath the capillary.

2.4. Immunofluorescence microscopy

In order to examine cell–cell and cell–ECM interactions of MCF-7 cells on the T-MOC, expressions of membrane and structural proteins—tight junction protein zonula occludens (ZO-1), E-cadherin and type IV collagen were observed via immunofluorescence microscopy. Bovine serum albumin (BSA), phosphate buffered saline (PBS), TritonX-100, and Tween 20 were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). Primary antibodies of ZO-1 (mouse monoclonal) and E-cadherin (mouse monoclonal) were obtained from Invitrogen (Camarillo, CA); collagen IV (rabbit polyclonal) was obtained from Millipore (Billerica, MA). All fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies were obtained from Invitrogen (Camarillo, CA). The MCF-7 cells on the T-MOC were fixed by perfusing 4% formaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.1% TritonX-100. After blocking with 3% BSA in PBS for 2 h, primary antibodies were applied to cells against: ZO-1 (1:100), E-cadherin (1:100), and collagen IV (1:100) then the T-MOCs were incubated overnight at 4 °C. Fluorophore-conjugated secondary antibodies, Alexa fluor 488 goat
anti-mouse IgG (1:400) and Alexa fluor 555 goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:400) were added and incubated for 40 min. Between each step cells were rinsed with PBS containing 0.1% BSA and 0.05% Tween 20. Fluorescence images were obtained using a fluorescence microscope (Olympus IX71, Japan).

2.5. Theoretical analysis of NP transport

Transport of NPs on the T-MOC was mathematically described by considering the conservation of mass, fluid momentum, and NP concentration during multiple transport processes. The tumor interstitium was assumed to be a porous medium and the fluid flow within the interstitial channel was determined by the Darcy’s Law. The flow in the capillary channel was assumed to be incompressible, laminar and governed by the Navier–Stokes Equation. The fluid and NP fluxes across the membrane were assumed to obey the Starling’s Law and Kedem–Katchansky Formulation [31] respectively. Then, the time dependent interstitial transport of NPs was computed by solving the species conservation law. The details of the computational model are provided in the supplementary information.

3. Results

In order to recapitulate the complex transport processes around a tumor, the model was designed to mimic a pair of capillary and lymphatic vessels where tumor tissue is sandwiched as shown in Fig. 1A.

Fig. 1. Schematic of the tumor-microenvironment-on-chip. (A) Conceptual design: A minimal functional unit of a solid tumor, i.e., a pair of capillary and lymphatic vessels, is mimicked into a 3D microfluidic platform with a 3D structure. The top channel (red) simulates the capillary with endothelium (monolayer of endothelial cells on a nanoporous membrane). NPs can be introduced along this capillary channel. The bottom layer has a center channel (blue) mimicking a 3D tumor microstructure (i.e., cells in 3D matrix) and two side channels simulating the lymphatics (green). (B) Fabricated prototype and schematic of perfusion setup of the T-MOC. The tumor channel will be pressurized to establish the elevated interstitial fluid pressure (IFP). Computational model predictions of (C) IFP and (D) NP concentration in the T-MOC. Color contours show the spatial distribution of IFP and NP concentration in the tumor chamber on plan A-A noted in (B) at 8 h after the start of NP perfusion in capillary channel. Time-lapse concentration profiles of NPs in the interstitial channel are also shown in (D), bottom. The values are normalized with respect to capillary drug concentration, $C_v$. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
The model has a 3D structure formed by stacking two layers of microchannels with a porous membrane sandwiched between the layers. The top layer has a channel simulating the capillary of the tumor vasculature, and NP-suspended microchannels with a porous membrane sandwiched between the layers. A physiologically relevant velocity and pressure. The endothelium of the capillary is mimicked by culturing MVECs on a porous membrane so that its cut-off pore size can be controlled by using membranes with different pore sizes. The bottom layer has three channels, which are partitioned with periodic posts. The center channel simulates the tumor interstitium and the two side channels simulate the lymphatics. In the tumor channel, cancer cells grow within a 3D collagen matrix, and the interstitial fluid flows through the matrix and exerts elevated IFP. The NPs will be transported through this 3D tissue structure and reach the cancer cells. The fabricated chip is connected to desired pressure reservoir as shown in Fig. 1B so that each of the capillary, interstitial and lymphatic fluid pressures (IFP, CPP and LFP, respectively) can be independently controlled.

In order to confirm the transport processes in this platform, we performed computational analysis of the fluid and NP transport by modeling the transvascular and interstitial transport using the Darcy’s Law, Kedem–Katchansky formulation and the conservation of chemical species. The predicted distribution of IFP and NP concentration are provided in Fig. 1C and D. These contours show the spatial distribution of IFP and NP concentration in the tumor chamber on a plan A-A noted in Fig. 1B. This section is located 500 μm away from the origin in the x-direction. Its location is arbitrarily selected and is representative of transport on device since the transport is approximately uniform across the x-direction (Fig. S-3 in supplementary information). It is observed that the tumor interstitium channel experiences significantly elevated levels of IFP, especially right underneath the endothelium membrane. This pressure distribution was found to be similar to that in a solid tumor where the IFP is sustained at an elevated level within the tumor but decays to the normal tissue levels near the tumor periphery [32]. Due to the higher capillary and interstitial pressure, convective flow of the interstitial fluid is induced from capillary to lymphatic on the order of 10 μm/s. The NPs are mostly accumulated underneath the membrane, which is analogous to the transvascular transport, i.e., extravasation. The concentration is uniform underneath the membrane along the y-direction, but decreases due to interstitial transport. The bottom panel in Fig. 1D shows the z-averaged interstitial concentration of NPs with respect to time from the start of capillary perfusion. NPs that pass through the capillary wall first accumulate just under the membrane, i.e., the gray region. Then, they gradually transport across the interstitium by combined diffusion and convection similar to interstitial transport and lymphatic drainage. The relative amount of accumulation under the membrane and penetration into the tumor interstitium is mainly governed by the hydraulic conductivities of the membrane and collagen gel as well as permeability of membrane to the NP and effective diffusivity and solute velocity of NP in collagen gel. These processes are well analogous to the complex transport around in vivo tumors.

Tissue culture results on the T-MOC are shown in Fig. 2. This tumor tissue was constructed by seeding 1×10^6 cells/ml–collagen mixture solution whose collagen concentration is 6 mg/ml, so that the MCF-7 cells were growing within the 3D ECM architecture in the presence of the interstitial fluid similar to in vivo environments [14,23]. When the collagen was polymerized (i.e., Day 0), the cells loosely aggregated with distinct cell membrane boundaries as shown in Fig. 2A. As the tumor tissue was cultured, the size of the cell aggregates increased and the distinction between the cells diminished. After 3 days, the size of the tumor cell aggregates significantly increased by rapid proliferation of the cells, and the cell boundaries were hardly distinguishable, which mimics the tumor tissue structure very well. Throughout the culture, the viability of the tumor tissue was very high (i.e., typically above 95% as confirmed by the membrane integrity assay). Besides the viability, notable interactions and adhesions among neighboring cells and the ECM are observed as shown in Fig. 2B. Two key adhesion molecules—tight junction protein (ZO-1) and E-cadherin confirm the presence of tightly packed cell–cell and cell–ECM adhesions around the cells on the T-MOC, which are the key characteristics of tumor microenvironment in vivo. Type IV collagen, synthesized and secreted by the MCF-7 cells, is also observed around the cells. In addition, formation of MVECs monolayer on the membrane is confirmed as shown in Fig. 2C. After polymerization of tumor tissue construct in the interstitial channel, the MVECs were seeded and cultured on the membrane coated with Matrigel under shear flow. After 3 days, the cells covered the membrane well, began to form cell–cell junction, and provided a barrier function.

After the fabrication and 3 days culture, we studied the NP transport behavior, particularly the effects of NP size. In order to quantitatively study the transport, fluorescence NPs with different sizes (i.e., 100, 200 and 500 nm in diameter) were introduced along the capillary channel while the T-MOC was imaged under a fluorescence microscope. The spatiotemporal variation of fluorescence intensity was further analyzed to determine the NP concentration profiles. The time-lapse fluorescence images at select time points (Fig. 3A) and corresponding concentration profiles at 0.5, 1, 2, 4, 8 and 12 h (Fig. 3B) are presented. For a baseline condition (the cut-off diameter = 400 nm CPP = IFP = 20 mm Hg, and LFP = 5 mm Hg), time-lapse fluorescence images confirm rapid trans-membrane transport of 100 nm NPs and subsequent diffusion in the tumor channel. The results suggest that the trans-membrane transport is much faster than the interstitial diffusion. As the NP size increases to 200 nm, a notable decrease of the trans-membrane transport is observed even though the NP size is still smaller than the cut-off diameter of the membrane. This implies that the size window for targeted delivery in vivo should be narrower than what has been suggested in literature based on EPR paradigm [33]. As the NPs are larger than the membrane pore, no transport into and through the tumor channel is observed. As summarized in Fig. 3C, this size difference results in a significant difference in the NP transport away from the vessel wall and tumor accumulation. This implies that NPs need to be designed to be sufficiently smaller than the cut-off pore size of the endothelium to ensure the delivery of therapeutic agents to cancer cells.

In order to investigate the effects of tumor pathophysiological conditions on the NP transport, the transport of 100 nm NPs were characterized while varying cut-off pore size, IFP, and tissue microstructure. The effects of the cut-off pore size are presented in Fig. 4. When the sizes of cut-off pore and NPs are both 100 nm, no NP transport is observed within the tumor channel. When the pores enlarge to 400 nm, the NP transport into the tumor channel is substantially augmented. However, the transport is not further enhanced as the pores increased to 1000 nm. This suggests that the difference between the cut-off pore size and the NPs critically affects the NP transport around tumors, but, if the difference is larger than a certain threshold, increase in the pore size or decrease in the NP size has no critical impact. When the cut-off pores were formed by the MVEC monolayer on the 1000 nm membrane, the trans-membrane transport substantially decreases so that overall transport becomes less than that through 400 nm pore membranes.

The effects of tissue microstructure are also shown in Fig. 5. It has been known that tumor tissue has a dense microstructure caused by high collagen content in the ECM and high cell packing density, which both are thought to be potential transport barriers [33–35]. Interestingly, the increase of the collagen content does not hinder the NP transport substantially. Both penetration of NPs and their tumor accumulation are higher in high collagen content ECM at 8 h (p-value <0.05 by Student’s t-test), but the magnitude of the difference is minimal. On the other hand, the high cell packing density not only hinders the interstitial transport, but it also substantially decreases extravasation. Thus, the overall NP accumulation decreases to approximately 80% of that observed in cell-free ECM. This implies that these transport barriers are closely associated
with each other, and the collective effects should be considered when the NPs are designed.

The effects of the IFP are presented in Fig. 6. When the IFP is lower than the CFP (CFP = 20 mm Hg > IFP = 5 mm Hg), the NPs rapidly extravasate into the interstitium and diffuse away. As the IFP increases so that it balances with the CFP (i.e., CFP = IFP = 20 mm Hg), the NPs still extravasate. This may be associated with the difference between local pressure and overall pressure applied along the interstitial channel. When the IFP became higher than the CFP (CFP = 20 mm Hg > CFP = 40 mm Hg), no extravasation was observed. It clearly shows that the NP transport is drastically hindered as the IFP becomes higher than the capillary pressure.

The effective diffusivity, $D_{\text{eff}}$, of NPs in the interstitial channel was estimated by fitting a transport model to the concentration profiles as shown in Fig. 5-4 and the results are presented in Table 1. It is seen that overall, the $D_{\text{eff}}$ for T-MOC is within the range of 1 to $5 \times 10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$, which is in good agreement with previously reported values based on measurements in vivo for similarly sized liposomes [36]. It is also seen that the addition of cells resulted in a decrease in $D_{\text{eff}}$ by a factor of approximately 3 while the ECM concentration and the NP size were found to have little or no effect on $D_{\text{eff}}$.

4. Discussion

The developed T-MOC is designed to recapitulate several key features of the tumor microenvironment relevant to the transport of NPs around tumors. First, it mimics a series of complex transport processes through which the NPs are thought to experience in vivo to reach the target cancer cells. Many of these transport processes occur at tissue–tissue interfaces, which lack in currently available in vitro tumor models. In addition, the T-MOC provides the capability to systematically control pathophysiological parameters affecting the transport characteristics. These parameters are the cut-off pore size of the tumor vasculature, elevated tumor IFP, dense ECM microstructure, and high cell packing density. These tumor microenvironmental parameters are highly dynamic, interconnected and vary spatiotemporally [37,38]. The compounding effects of all these physiological conditions on NP transport are extremely difficult to study using currently available in vitro tumor models as well as in vivo small animal models. As illustrated in the Results...
The present results illustrate that the environment created in the vicinity of tumor interstitium is associated with elevated levels of hydrostatic pressure. While there were variations in IFP across the interstitial channel due to channel geometry and complexity of pressurization scheme, these variations, predicted by the computational model in Fig. 1C, were only prominent near lymphatics. For the case of prescribed IFP = 20 mm Hg, the IFP values at locations just under the membrane where it is expected to affect the transvascular NP flux, were only 1–2 mm Hg below the prescribed value. Yet this pressure difference could create a net convective solute flux, which could explain the extravasation under the conditions IFP = CFP = 20 mm Hg. In addition, the primary sources of pressurization are thought to be the transmission of capillary pressure across the permeable membrane and the restriction of area of the gel boundary through which fluid is transferred from interstitial to lymphatic channels. These features of the microfluidic device are analogous to two conditions that arise in vivo solid tumors, leaky tumor vasculature and poor lymphatic drainage, which are considered to be responsible for the high interstitial fluid pressure levels observed in those tissues [9,32]. By recreating on a chip the hydrostatic pressure

**Fig. 3.** Effects of NP size on the transport processes. Using the T-MOC, effects of NP size on the extravasation and interstitial diffusion can be characterized. Although the cut-off pore size is 400 nm, a significant decrease in extravasation is observed for 200 nm NPs. (A) Time-lapse fluorescence images of NP transport. (B) Corresponding concentration profiles. (C) Comparison of the concentration profiles (left) and accumulation (right) of the NPs.
conditions relevant to tumors, our microfluidic platform offers advantages over other platforms to evaluate the barrier function of high IFP in drug delivery.

It was observed that the trans-membrane transport of 100 nm NPs was completely hindered by 100 nm pore membrane, but a small amount of 500 nm NPs could extravasate through a membrane with 400 nm pores. This may be caused by the variation of pore cut-off diameters (Table S-2 in supplementary information). In addition SEM images of membranes in Fig. S-2 show overlapping pores that result in larger effective diameters and could allow NPs to pass through. Finally, the variability in particle size is also another factor that can explain this observation.

The NP transport characteristics on the T-MOC are compared with those obtained from small animal models in Fig. 7. Overall, in spite of...
differences in NP’s base materials, their surface properties and consequent pharmacokinetics in blood stream, general trends of NP tumor accumulation and penetration agree well, but the NPs on the T-MOC transport faster than those in vivo. The accumulation of 100 nm NPs at tumor tissue is compared in Fig. 7A—100 nm gold NPs [39,40], 100 nm polymer micelles in colon (C26) and pancreatic (BxPC3) tumors [41], and 100 nm polystyrene NPs on T-MOC with MVEC layer or MCF-7 cells in a 6 mg/ml collagen matrix. In order to compare the tumor accumulation results, it has been normalized with the accumulation amount of each NP at 1 h post injection. All the NPs are accumulated at a similar rate during earlier time period and reach a threshold value around 4 hour post injection. Compared to the in vivo accumulations, the threshold values on the T-MOC platforms are higher. This is thought to be primarily due to continuous perfusion of NPs on the T-MOC platform, in contrast to rapid in vivo clearance from blood stream [2,7]. In order to accurately mimic the in vivo transport, temporal change of NP concentration in blood should be considered.

Interestingly, between the accumulations on the T-MOCs, that on the T-MOC with MVECs is much closer to the in vivo results even though it is made with a 1000 nm pore membrane. The presence of MVECs on the membrane seems to provide a more realistic environment for NP–endothelium and transvascular transport. The

**Fig. 5.** Effects of tissue microstructure on the transport processes. (A) Time-lapse fluorescence images of 100 nm NP transport. (B) Corresponding concentration profiles. (C) Comparison of the concentration profiles (left) and accumulation (right) of the NPs.
combined cell monolayer and nanoporous membrane interact with nanoparticles in multiple ways and their decreased delivery cannot solely be attributed to the thickness of the monolayer. The cell density variation, i.e., the distribution of inter-cellular gaps as the ones observed in Fig. 2C, is considered to be an important factor in defining the locations of high and low permeability across the capillary wall. Since the size of these gaps is observed to be larger than the membrane pore size, we believe the effective cut-off diameter still approaches that of the membrane i.e., 1000 nm. The effective pore density on the other hand is significantly reduced by the presence

![Fig. 6. Effects of interstitial fluid pressure on the transport processes. (A) Time-lapse fluorescence images of 100 nm NP transport. (B) Corresponding concentration profiles. (C) Comparison of the concentration profiles (left) and accumulation (right) of the NPs.](image)

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>( D_p ) [nm]</th>
<th>Interstitial medium</th>
<th>Effective diffusivity ([10^{-13} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}])</th>
<th>Goodness of fit ( (R^2) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS NP</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ECM (3 mg/ml)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS NP</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>ECM (6 mg/ml)</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS NP</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>ECM (6 mg/ml) + MCF-7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposome [36]</td>
<td>152.4</td>
<td>U87/Mu89 mouse xenograft</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of cells, which can explain the overall decreased transport. Moreover, the transport can occur through either inter-cellular gaps, or trans-cellular conduits, referred as vesiculo-vacuolar organelles [42]. Therefore the size and density of these conduits across the endothelial layer are also expected to contribute to vascular permeability. The transport across the combined cell and membrane layer on the T-MOC platform warrants further characterization.

The penetration depth into tumor interstitial space is compared in Fig. 7B—100 nm gold NPs at 8 h post injection [39], 50 nm gold NPs coated with transferring (Tf) or polyethylene glycol (PEG) at 48 h post injection, and 100 nm polystyrene NPs on T-MOC with MVEC layer or MCF-7 cells in a 6 mg/ml collagen matrix at 8 h post infusion. The spatial concentration was normalized with the concentration at the vessel wall or the edge of the capillary channel. Error bars are shown in only one direction for clarity.

The present results also imply that NP design criteria for targeted delivery should be further developed considering the dynamic interactions of NPs within the tumor microenvironment. For example, as shown in Fig. 3, extravasation of 200 nm NPs substantially decreased even though the cut-off pore size of the membrane was two times larger than the NP size. Although the cut-off pore diameter is still one of the major design criteria for NP-mediated targeted delivery, the size window of effective NP delivery seems to be much narrower than what has been estimated by the EPR effects. In order to deliver the majority of the administered NPs to target tumors through these multiple transport barriers, NPs should be designed in consideration of the complex transport processes in vivo.

5. Conclusions

In order to develop more effective targeted delivery strategies, quantitative understanding of the dynamic transport behavior of NPs is essential. Currently available tumor models are not adequate to achieve this goal. Conventional static in vitro systems, such as cell monolayers, do not provide the right environment to study these complex in vivo transport processes. Small animal xenograft models have been used as a valuable platform to characterize the in vivo behavior of the NPs, but their predictions are not always translated for human outcomes [25, 26]. Even successful animal models only provide end results with limited information on the tumor environmental parameters, which is essential for mechanistic understanding of the in vivo behavior of the NPs. Thus, a new model system is greatly desired, in which the tumor microenvironmental parameters can be systematically and independently controlled, but at the same time the dynamic interactions among the fluids, ECM, cells and NPs are maintained. The present T-MOC can address many of these challenges and can provide high content screening capability of numerous NP designs, which can bridge the gap between different model systems to extrapolate cell culture, and animal models to human response.
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