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ABSTRACT: The safety and efficacy of protein therapeutics are limited by three interrelated pharmaceutical issues, in vitro and in vivo instability, immunogenicity and shorter half-lives. Novel drug modifications for overcoming these issues are under investigation and include covalent attachment of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG), polysialic acid, or glycolic acid, as well as developing new formulations containing nanoparticulate or colloidal systems (e.g., liposomes, polymeric microspheres, polymeric nanoparticles). Such strategies have the potential to develop as next generation protein therapeutics. This review includes a general discussion on these delivery approaches. © 2010 Wiley-Liss, Inc. and the American Pharmacists Association J Pharm Sci 99:2557–2575, 2010
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INTRODUCTION

Since the late 20th century numerous therapeutic proteins and peptides have emerged in the market. PHARMA 2010 reported that biotech products accounted for more than 35% of the 37 new active substances launched in 2001.1 In 2007, global biotech drug sales grew at twice the rate of traditional small molecule drugs (12.5% vs. 6.4%) with total revenues of $75 billion US. Biotech drugs accounted for one fifth of all blockbuster drugs in the market as of 2008.2 From a therapeutic perspective, proteins offer the distinct advantage of specific mechanisms of action and are highly potent. Despite these advantages, biotech products must overcome the hurdles posed by high molecular weight, short half-lives, instability, and immunogenicity. Several strategies have been evaluated in an effort to improve the current limitations of therapeutic peptides and proteins in the creation of the so-called “second generation” protein therapeutics. Most efforts center around one of two approaches—either a change in the agent itself (e.g., mutations in protein structure or covalent attachment of moieties) or by a change in formulation.3 In contrast to modifying the protein structure, covalent chemical attachment of compounds such as poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG) or polysialic acid (PSA) to therapeutic protein represent a relatively new approach. Drug formulation systems, such as liposomes, polymeric microspheres, and polymeric nanoparticles, are another means to help overcome the current limitations of protein therapeutics.4,5

The intent of this review is to provide a general discussion of approaches being applied to improve safety and efficacy of protein therapeutics. This includes the areas of PEGylation, lipid based vehicles, hyper glycosylation, and polymeric micro/nanospheres, specifically PLGA microspheres.

PEGYLATION

The conjugation of polymers to proteins had been in practice since the 1950s, but it was the development of PEGylation that provided the real breakthrough in enhancing the pharmaceutical properties of proteins and peptides in a viable manner.6 PEGylation, the covalent attachment of PEG moieties to a therapeutic agent, was first reported in the 1970s.7,8 Experiments attempting to improve delivery aspects via PEGylation found not only the intended benefits, but overall enhancement of stability, pharmacokinetics, and therapeutic utility of molecules.9–12 PEGylation has been extensively discussed in the literature.3,9,12,13 This section primarily focuses on summarizing the various aspects of PEGylation, the key problems with
first generation of PEGylation, and details recent discoveries from *in vivo* studies.

**PEGylation: Principles and Process**

As the name suggests, PEG moieties are repeating units of ethylene glycol that are both inert and amphiphilic in nature. PEG is synthesized by anionic ring opening polymerization of ethylene oxide initiated by nucleophilic attack of a hydroxide ion on the epoxide ring. Several derivatives of PEG molecules are available that vary in molecular weight and structure, such as linear or branched. Figure 1 depicts the structural units of commonly used PEGs.

The process of PEGylation involves formation of a stable covalent bond between activated PEG polymers and the polypeptide drug of interest. PEG is first activated by preparing derivatives with functional groups at one or both of the terminal ends. This covalent attachment is generally made at the α or ε amino groups of lysine, N-terminal amino group of cysteine, histidine, arginine, aspartic acid, glutamic acid, serine, threonine, tyrosine, or C-terminal carboxylic acid. Among these amino acids, the most common choices for PEGylation are lysine and proteins N-terminal amino group.

Proteins and peptides are very labile molecules so the coupling reactions should utilize only mild chemical conditions. The first generation of PEG derivatives were produced by attaching PEG activated via different chemistries to ε amino groups of lysine and included: (a) PEG succinimidyl carbonate, (b) PEG benzotriazole carbonate, (c) PEG dichlorotriazine, (d) PEG tetrylate, (e) PEG p-nitrophenyl carbonate, (f) PEG trichlorophenyl carbonate, (g) PEG carboxylimidazole, and (h) PEG succinimidyl succinate. Among these techniques, cyanuric chloride, imidazoyl formate, succinimidyl carbonates of PEG and succinimidyl succinate methods were more commonly studied and are shown in Figure 2. These techniques resulted in modification of multiple lysine groups and produced mixtures of PEG isomers with variable molecular masses. Most of the first generation reactions were conducted using alkylating agents that nonspecifically modify multiple amino acids to form secondary amine linkages with other protein molecules. Owing to its simple and straightforward nature, such first generation PEGylation strategies were filled with numerous complications owing largely to its hydroxyl group. End products were often contaminated with impurities, conjugation was restricted to low molecular weight PEG, linkages could prove relatively unstable, modification lacked specificity, and immune response directed towards the chemical linker could result. Methoxy-PEG (mPEG) in particular had a high susceptibility to form irreversible aggregates with protein due to the inherent 10–15% diol contamination in the procedure.

To overcome these limitations, second generation PEGylation evolved with an array of chemistries to improve PEG derivatives and their conjugation to therapeutics. Utilization of carboxylic acid intermediates of PEG allowed removal of almost 97% of diol impurities. Since cysteine residues are far less prolific than lysine, conjugation to the thiol groups of cysteine allowed more precise attachment. The incorporation of degradable linkages (e.g., para- or ortho-disulfide of benzyl urethane) provided a means to release drugs within the endosomes of cell. Heterobifunctional and branched PEG derivatives also allow more control of the spatial arrangement of PEG. Site specific PEGylation of protein drugs can be performed by reductive alkylation with PEG-aldehyde. Pegylation of hemoglobin (HbA) can be achieved by site specific modification of its Val-1(α) and Val-1(β) residues by glyceraldehyde in the presence of sodium cyanoborohydride. Reductive
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**Figure 1.** Structural formulae of polyethylene glycol molecules. The basic structural formulae for linear PEG, mPEG, and branched mPEG are shown.
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**Figure 2.** Products of common PEG activation chemistries. (a) Cyanuric chloride method. (b) Imidazole formate method. (c) Succinimidyl carbonates of PEG. (d) PEG-succinimidyl succinate method [adapted from 52].
alkylation of HbA with methoxy polyethylene glycol 5000 propionaldehyde generated a PEGylated Hb carrying an average of six PEG chains that exhibited an increased $O_2$ affinity. The most prominent consequences of PEGylation, all of which may affect the pharmacological behavior of the conjugates, are: (i) increased binding affinity with the intended drug-target for a therapeutic molecule frequently results. Even with smaller proteins, some reduction in activity is almost a given. However, this reduction in binding may be offset by increased systemic exposure. In case of growth hormone a high binding analog was prepared by site directed mutagenesis of parent growth hormone by a single point glycine to lysine mutation in the BS2 site (G120K). This G120K-GH antagonist exhibited a very short plasma half-life of approximately 30 min, similar to the 15 min half-life reported for the parent GH.24. Pegylation with 5 kDa PEG to the antagonist using a random conjugation approach yielded a protein with a dramatically longer half-life at more than 100 h, but which lost 186-fold potency in receptor binding studies compared with parent GH. Thus, by finding the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic balance PEGylated growth hormone received the US FDA approval in 2003 as second line therapy in treatment of acromegaly.40

PEGylation is not without its drawbacks. Due to steric interference of excessive PEGylation, as often results with larger proteins, a loss of activity and binding affinity with the intended drug-target for a therapeutic molecule frequently results. Even with smaller proteins, some reduction in activity is almost a given. However, this reduction in binding may be offset by increased systemic exposure. In case of growth hormone a high binding analog was prepared by site directed mutagenesis of parent growth hormone by a single point glycine to lysine mutation in the BS2 site (G120K). This G120K-GH antagonist exhibited a very short plasma half-life of approximately 30 min, similar to the 15 min half-life reported for the parent GH.24. Pegylation with 5 kDa PEG to the antagonist using a random conjugation approach yielded a protein with a dramatically longer half-life at more than 100 h, but which lost 186-fold potency in receptor binding studies compared with parent GH. Thus, by finding the pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic balance PEGylated growth hormone received the US FDA approval in 2003 as second line therapy in treatment of acromegaly.40

Incidents of increased aggregation after PEG conjugation have been reported as well.41 The other complication of PEGylation is polydispersity of the attached moieties. PEG, being a synthetic polymer, is polydisperse and exhibits a range of molecular weights. The polydispersity index (PDI), a measure of the distribution of molecular mass and can be calculated as the average molecular weight ($M_w$) divided by number average molecular weight ($M_n$) $[M_w/M_n]$. The PDI ranges from approximately 1.01 for low molecular weight PEG oligomers (3–5 kDa) to 1.2 for high molecular weight oligomers (20 kDa). This polydispersity is transferred to the PEG conjugated proteins and can make the formulation more prone
aggregation.\textsuperscript{17} Although infrequent, it may also be possible for anti-PEG antibodies to form, usually when repeated chronic doses of PEGylated proteins are given. These antiPEG antibodies may potentially increase the clearance of protein or drug delivery vehicles attached to PEG.\textsuperscript{42}

Preclinical and Clinical Development of PEGylation for Protein Delivery

PEGylation has made tremendous advances since its discovery. The FDA approved the first PEGylated therapeutic, pegadamase (Adagen), in 1990. Since then numerous PEGylated polypeptides and macromolecules have reached the market with many more currently undergoing various stages of clinical development.\textsuperscript{43} PEGylated proteins currently in the market are summarized in Table 1. There are numerous reports demonstrating benefit of PEGylation to various therapeutic molecules and Table 2 indicates relative changes in pharmacokinetic properties of various macromolecular drugs and their PEGylated conjugates in a preclinical setting. Some representative examples from these molecules are discussed here and detailed information can be found elsewhere in the literature.\textsuperscript{9,14,40,44} PEG-anti-TNF-Fab was just approved for rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn’s disease in 2008. A PEGylated diFab antibody (PEG-CDP791) targeting vascular endothelial growth factor receptor-2 has been studied for application in solid tumors by UCB-ImClone systems and is in phase II clinical trial.\textsuperscript{45} PEG-arginine deaminase, formed by the attachment of 10–12 chains of 20 kDa PEG is in phase II clinical trial for hepatocellular carcinoma and another form with

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{PEGylated Proteins in the Market}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Brand Name & Drug Name & Parent Drug & Indication & Approval Year \\
\hline
Adagen\textsuperscript{®} & Pegadamase & Adenosine deaminase & Severe combined immunodeficiency disease (SCID) & 1990 \\
Oncaspar\textsuperscript{®} & Pegaspargase & Asparaginase & Leukemia & 1994 \\
PEG-INTRON\textsuperscript{®} & Peginterferon-a2b & IFN-a2B & Hepatitis C & 2000 \\
PEGASYS\textsuperscript{®} & Peginterferon-a2a & IFN-a2A & Hepatitis C & 2001 \\
Neulasta\textsuperscript{®} & Pegfilgrastim & Granulocyte-colony stimulating factor (GCSF) & Neutropenia & 2002 \\
Somavert\textsuperscript{®} & Pegvisomant & Growth Hormone antagonist & Acromegaly & 2003 \\
Macugen\textsuperscript{®} & Pegaptanib & Anti-VEGF aptamer & Age-related macular degeneration & 2004 \\
Mircera\textsuperscript{®} & Epoetin beta-methoxy polyethylene glycol & Erythropoietin (EPO) & Anemia associate with Kidney disease & 2007 \\
Cimzia\textsuperscript{®} & PEG-Certolizumab pegol & Anti-TNF Fab & Rheumatoid arthritis and Crohn’s disease & 2008 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\caption{Relative Changes in Preclinical Pharmacokinetic Properties of Various Macromolecular Drugs and Their PEGylated Conjugates (Adapted from 9,10,40)}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline
Therapeutic Class/PEGylated Drug & Pharmacokinetic (PK) Effect (Circulation Half Life $t_{1/2}$) & Pharmacodynamic (PD) Effect & Increased Fold Difference & $In Vitro$ Activity (% Activity Retained) \\
\hline
Antibodies & & & & \\
Fab’ fragments & & 0.33 & 9.05 & 27 & 100 \\
Enzymes & & & & \\
PEG-arginine deiminase & 2.8 & 50 & 18 & 48 \\
PEG-methioninase & 2 & 38 & 19 & 70 \\
PEG-superoxide dismutase & 0.01 & 38 & 380 & 51 \\
PEG uricase & 3 & 72 & 24 & 100 \\
Cytokines & & & & \\
PEG-granulocyte colony stimulating factor & 1.8 & 7.0 & 3.9 & 41 \\
PEG-IFN-α2a & 0.7 & 51 & 73 & 7 \\
PEG-IFN-β1a & 0.98 & 13 & 13 & 50 \\
PEG-interleukin-6 & 0.05 & 48 & 960 & 51 \\
PEG-interleukin-2 & 0.73 & 4.26 & 6 & NA \\
Hormones & & & & \\
PEG-calcitonin & 3.31 & 15.4 & 4.6 & 50 \\
PEG-human Growth Hormone & 0.34 & 10 & 29 & 24 \\
Nucleic acids & & & & \\
Anti-VEGF RNA aptamer & 24 & 94 & 3.91 & 25 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{table}
12 kDa PEG is in the preclinical stage.\textsuperscript{36,37} PEG-arginase, a pegylated arginase with 5 kDa PEG is also in phase II clinical trial. PEG-glutaminase is in phase II clinical trial to enhance activity of 6-diazo-5-oxo-1-norleucine in colorectal and lung cancer.\textsuperscript{48}

There are various companies involved in the Pegylation of small molecules and large molecules such as proteins and antibody fragments. Nektar therapeutic is a biopharmaceutical company that is heavily involved in developing new drugs based on its Peg conjugation technology. There are nine approved products on the Pegylation technology which Nektar shares with other pharmaceutical companies and the recent progress can be found on its product webpage (www.nektar.com). PolyTherics is involved in using TheraPEG\textsuperscript{TM} technology, an innovative, patent protected approach to the Pegylation of therapeutic proteins and antibody fragments. Beyond targeted Pegylation, PolyTherics has also developed proprietary polymer-based technologies for application to large proteins. Since its inception Enzon pharmaceuticals is involved in Pegylation of various drugs and so far have successful marketed products such as ONCASPAR and ADAGEN and have various drugs including small and large molecules in their pipeline.

PEGylation of the enzyme asparaginase, used clinically for the treatment of acute leukemia, resulted in an increase of half-life from 20 h for the unPEGylated parent enzyme to 357 h for pegasparginase. It also protected asparaginase from proteolytic degradation by trypsin and reduced immune response.\textsuperscript{19,49} PEGylation of interleukin-6 not only produced more than a 100-fold increase in half-life, resulting in a 500-fold increase in thrombopoietic potency, but also decreased plasma IgG1 production and adverse effects.\textsuperscript{19,49} Clinical studies with Peginterferon \(2b\) (PegIntron) have shown that it is superior to unPEGylated IFN-\(2b\) in the initial treatment of chronic hepatitis C.\textsuperscript{51} The PEGylated form showed a significant decrease in serum hepatitis c virus RNA and exhibited several fold lower clearance compared to free IFN-\(2b\).\textsuperscript{52}

PEGylation of IFN-\(\alpha2a\) with first generation chemistry did not yield any clinical advantage due to decrease in the activity. Use of second generation 40 kDa branched PEG, which also increased the size of total parent-PEG conjugate, reduced renal clearance by 100-fold and increased half-life from 9 to 7 days relative to native IFN-\(\alpha2a\).\textsuperscript{43}

Nonspecific Pegylation usually results in heterogeneously PEGylated conjugates, whereas site specific Pegylation of specific functional groups (free cystines, oligosaccharides, alcohols, etc.) offers more precise control. Nonspecific Pegylation of tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-\(\alpha\) resulted in heterogeneous conjugates with decreased bioactivity where as site specific Pegylation of mono-PEGylated TNF-\(\alpha\) showed superior molecular uniformity, demonstrated higher bioactivity \textit{in vitro}, and greater antitumor therapeutic potency than randomly mono-PEGylated TNF-\(\alpha\).\textsuperscript{53}

N-terminal site-specific Pegylation of rhG-CSF with a 30 kDa PEG resulted in longer \textit{in vivo} circulation half-life and 60% higher drug bioavailability than mono-PEG20-GCSF, made with 20 kDa PEG.\textsuperscript{54}

It can be surmised that Peg improves the \textit{in vivo} efficacy of protein drugs by altering the balance between pharmacokinetic (PK) and pharmacodynamic (PD) effects. The decrease in binding affinity is compensated with increased overall exposure of drug. Peg conjugation has evolved over the last two decades to emerge as a viable pharmaceutical tool. Combination of the Pegylation approach with novel drug delivery technologies such as conjugating with hydrogels, small molecules, antibody fragments, lipids, sachharides, and biomaterials is currently under investigation.\textsuperscript{29,55}

\textbf{HYPERGLYCOSYLATION}

Glycosylation has been the most heavily studied posttranslational peptide modification. The nature of the carbohydrates attached to a protein plays an important role in dictating structure, function, activity, immunogenicity, and pharmacokinetics.\textsuperscript{56} The patterns of protein glycosylation are heterogeneous, with the same protein often capable of displaying any one of numerous carbohydrates at a single glycosylation site.\textsuperscript{57,58} For recombinant proteins, glycosylation is highly dependent on the cell line. For example, the machinery necessary for glycosylation is absent from bacterial expression systems.\textsuperscript{59} Amongst the eukaryotes, mammalian cells (e.g., Chinese hamster ovary cells) are preferred for recombinant proteins due to the similarity in glycosylation patterns to human proteins. Beyond attempting to mimic the glycosylation patterns of endogenous proteins, attempts to hyperglycosylate therapeutic proteins have been utilized in a strategy that closely resembles that of Pegylation. Attachment of additional carbohydrates to the protein serves to reduce interactions with clearance mechanisms and antigen presenting cells (APCs) in an effort to prolong circulation and reduce immunogenicity.

\textbf{Hyperglycosylation: Principles and Process}

Alterations in endogenous glycosylation patterns can have a drastic impact on the biophysical properties of proteins. Removal of N-linked polysaccharides from Factor VIII (FVIII), a blood coagulation factor used as a first line treatment for Hemophilia A, led to a loss of
over 30% of the proteins activity and significantly increased the propensity for aggregation. At the same time, a preparation of FVIII with the B-domain (the region containing the vast majority of the protein’s glycosylation sites) removed is commercially available that retains full activity. Even monoclonal antibodies, possessing only one glycosylation site at Asn297 in the CH2 region of each heavy chain, part of the Fc domain, exhibit biological activity that is highly dependent on the glycosylation pattern. Antibodies that require interaction between the Fc domain and either Fcγ or C1q complement receptors to induce cytotoxicity show vastly reduced signaling for deglycosylated forms. It has also been proposed that different glycoforms may activate these receptors to varying extent. However, predicting the effects of altered glycoforms is a complex task that must be dealt with on a case by case basis. Fortunately, for protein therapeutics in general attaching additional carbohydrate groups seems to exhibit a more predictable impact than altering the native glycoforms.

In many ways hyperglycosylation can be seen as the natural evolution of PEGylation. Whereas PEG is an exogenous and not readily degraded moiety, many of the glyco groups are ubiquitously expressed throughout the body and easily broken down. Additional sugars may be attached either by specific chemical reactions in situ or via site directed mutagenesis to introduce additional glycosylation sites into the primary structure of the protein. Polysialic acid (PSA) is an endogenous oligosaccharide that, like PEG, is available in varying sizes regulated by the number of repeating subunits, strongly hydrophilic, and covalently linkable to the ε amino group of lysine via reductive amination. PSA is found throughout mammalian tissues and can be broken down into sialic acid upon endocytosis. It is comprised of several monomeric subunits with the same basic nine-carbon backbone and heterogenous substitutions at several carbons. The most common subunit in humans is 5-N-acetylateduraminic acid (Neu5Ac) polymerized by α 2,8-glycosidic bonds. Certain types of bacteria will utilize PSA attached to their cell walls to inhibit detection by the immune system. Bacterial PSA is identical to the human counterpart but fails to react with low affinity antibodies circulating in the body. These characteristics make it an ideal candidate for protein conjugation.

With respect to site directed mutagenesis, protein glycosylation may come as either N-linked or O-linked oligosaccharides. N-linked oligosaccharides, including PSA added by the producing cell line, are attached to the consensus sequence of Asn-Xxx-Ser/Thr where Xxx is anything but proline. O-linked oligosaccharides do not require a specific sequence but are generally found attached to either serine or threonine. From a design perspective it is much easier to control additional N-linked glycosylation than O-linked. Many genetic tools exist for altering the DNA sequence of recombinant proteins to add or change amino acids, but care must be taken to ensure the biological properties of the protein are not altered beyond the addition of oligosaccharides. Substitutions of amino acids should be limited to those with similar physio-chemical properties to minimize the impact on the global structure of the protein. It should be mentioned that the presence of a consensus sequence does not guarantee successful glycosylation. Location should be carefully considered when choosing a sequence to alter. The site must have a local conformation that renders it accessible within the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) for posttranslational modification. Introduction of a glycosylation site into a region of the protein responsible for binding necessary for receptor or substrate binding would not be desired due to inhibition of protein activity while placement within an immunodominant epitope would be highly likely to result in favorable changes in immunogenicity.

Rationale of Hyperglycosylation

Owing to the similarity between the principle of hyperglycosylation and PEGylation, many of the same advantages apply to both. Hyperglycosylation can extend biological half-life, reduce immunogenicity, and improve solubility. Apparent increases in therapeutic efficacy will likely stem from prolonged residence in the body; hyperglycosylated forms are expected to have reduced or equivalent activity to their native counterparts but not greater. The distinct advantage over PEG stems from the native and biodegradable nature of the oligosaccharides. There is some concern that PEG may build up over time in tissues as a result of chronic administration of agents with extensive distribution. By contrast, endogenous carbohydrates such as PSA are readily digested. Although PEG is regarded as nonimmunogenic, there have been a few examples where IgG antibodies directed against PEG or the PEG linker have been reported. Current beliefs claim that due to the stealth properties of PSA employed by bacterial proteins, no clinically significant immune response towards the PSA of conjugated therapeutics is likely. The main limitations of attachment of PEG and PSA will likely stem from inhibition of activity or unfavorable conformational changes induced by the covalent modification of the protein.

Preclinical and Clinical Development of Hyperglycosylation for Protein Delivery

It has been proposed that shorter PSA derivatives such as colominic acids may serve greater utility for attachment to protein therapeutics. Investigation
into polysialylation of asparaginase by reductive amination found that the half-life was increased in mice by three- to four-fold and immunogenicity reduced while activity remained within 82–86% of the native form following attachment of colomonic acid. Similar improvements were found in both naïve mice and those that had been immunized with the native form of the enzyme. Polysialylated forms of insulin have also been produced using colomonic acid derivatization and shown to prolong glucose suppression in mice by two- to three-fold versus the native form. Fab antibody fragments may also be ideal targets for polysialylation as they lack the extensive lymphatic recycling conferred by the Fc portion of the antibody and thus exhibit a substantially shorter half-life compared to full length IgG monoclonal antibodies. For a fab against the placental-like alkaline phosphatase antigen expressed on germ cell carcinomas, chemical polysialylation with colomonic acid increased both half-life and tumor deposition by 3-fold while a fab against ant carcinoembryonic antigen exhibited a 5-fold longer half-life and 20-fold lower immunoreactivity following polysialylation. Several pharmaceutical companies have polysialylated therapeutics in various stages of development.

Darbepoetin alfa, a hyperglycosylated form of EPO, is already approved for sale in the U.S. and is marketed by Amgen (Thousand Oaks, CA). Site directed mutagenesis was used to alter five individual amino acids, introducing two additional N-glycosylation sites into the primary structure, for a total of five sites. Clonal selection allowed isolation of a form in which all five of the consensus sequences were successfully glycosylated. This analog exhibited a threefold longer half-life, creating the potential for once a week dosing compared to the three weekly injections required for traditional EPO therapy. During clinical trials, only 9 of 2660 patients developed antibodies capable of binding darbepoetin alfa where none existed before, none of which were neutralizing antibodies. Advanced therapeutic agents like darbepoetin alfa, in combination with restricted routes of administration and more stringent regulatory oversight, have helped vastly limit incidences of life threatening pure red cell aplasia induced by EPO replacement therapy. Lipoxen, a company invested in the science of hyperglycosylation, has polysialylated forms EPO, GCSF, interferon-alpha-2b, and insulin in various stages of clinical and preclinical development.

**PLGA MICROSPHERES AND NANOPARTICULATE DRUG DELIVERY**

Polymeric nanoparticulates have been explored as drug delivery vehicles for decades. Table 3 shows the lists of currently marketed drug formulations that utilize biodegradable microparticles. This broad heading encompasses, among others, polymeric micelles, hydrogels, microparticles, self-diffusion systems, biodegradable polymers, cellulose derivatives, porous membranes, and dendrimers. Here, focus will be placed on poly(D,L-lactic-coglycolic-acid) (PLGA) microspheres and nano-particulate delivery approaches due to their wide acceptance as a biocompatible material approved for use in humans by the US FDA. PLGA is polymer of lactic and glycolic acid joined by ester bonds. PLGA and its derivatives have received much attention from drug delivery groups and have been widely studied for application in nano/microparticles encapsulating therapeutic drugs. Polymeric particles are classified based on their size with the diameter of microparticles ranging from 1 to 250 µm, while nanoparticles fall in the range of 10–1000 nm. PLGA microparticles are generated by polymerization of lactic acid and glycolic acid residues through ester linkage. The ratio of lactic acid and glycolic acid controls numerous aspects of the formulation. The most widely used PLGA composition of 50:50 has demonstrated the fastest biodegradation rate of the polymers with near complete degradation in 50–60 days. Various other combinations (e.g., 65:35, 70:30, 75:25) have been studied in the preclinical setting with progressively increasing half lives correlating to the percentage of lactic acid. Once administered to the body, the ester bond is hydrolyzed by esterases in the blood, releasing the drug from the polymer and allowing the polymer acids to become metabolized and cleared from the body.

**Table 3. List of Well-Accepted Protein/Peptide Drug Formulations Based on Biodegradable Microparticles in the Market**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Brand Name</th>
<th>Type of Particulate System</th>
<th>Parent Drug</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Approval Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lupron Depot®</td>
<td>PLA Microspheres</td>
<td>Leuprolide acetate</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>1993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutropin Depot®</td>
<td>PLGA Microspheres</td>
<td>Growth hormone (Somatropin recombinant)</td>
<td>Pediatric growth, hormone deficiency</td>
<td>1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandostatin LAR® Depot</td>
<td>PLGA Microspheres</td>
<td>Octreotide acetate</td>
<td>Acromegaly</td>
<td>1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somatuline® LA</td>
<td>PLGA microspheres</td>
<td>Lanreotide</td>
<td>Acromegaly</td>
<td>2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trelstar™ Depot</td>
<td>PLGA microsphere</td>
<td>Triptorelin pamoate</td>
<td>Prostate cancer</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PLGA Microspheres: Principles and Process

The PLGA microspheres for protein delivery can be prepared by several methods with the most widely used techniques for proteins conjugation being: (i) spray drying, (ii) double emulsion, and (iii) phase separation–coacervation.92

Spray drying involves dissolution of the polymer in organic solvents to which the drug is subsequently added during high speed homogenization. This dispersed phase mixture is further atomized in a stream of heated air leading to the formation of microspheres that are collected from the airstream by a cyclone separator. Remaining solvent is removed by vacuum drying in a nitrogen environment. Although this method produces a well defined particle size and is highly reproducible, the harsh conditions can lead to the aggregation and denaturation of proteins and antigens.93 To account for the sensitive nature of these therapeutics, spray freeze drying can be applied in which the dissolved polymers are mixed with lyophilized protein to form a suspension. This suspension is only briefly homogenized, then sprayed over frozen ethanol. Frozen microspheres are formed instantaneously and can be stored at −70°C to allow extraction of the solvents by the frozen ethanol that is later separated by the process of ultra-filtration. These microspheres are subsequently dried with nitrogen gas and can be dry sieved with the desired size steel mesh.92

The double emulsion method involves dissolution of protein in an aqueous medium made of polymer dissolved in an organic solvent and either homogenized or sonicated to form a water/oil emulsion. This primary emulsion may be used directly, but preference is to create a secondary emulsion by rapidly transferring the primary emulsion into an aqueous medium containing a stabilizer such as polyvinyl alcohol. This mixture is further homogenized/sonicated forming the secondary emulsion. Residual organic solvent can be removed by separating the phases with heat, vacuum, or in the case of sensitive protein, manual extraction. This process generally produces higher yields and more efficient encapsulation over other methods, but is dependent on the properties of the polymer—modification of release profiles of drug from the microspheres after formation has proven relatively difficult. There may also be some additional stability concerns. Regardless, this method has been applied for the encapsulation of lysozyme, recombinant human epidermal growth factor, and leuteinizing hormone-releasing hormone agonist.94,95

Phase separation, like spray freeze drying, comprises mixing solid lyophilized protein with polymeric organic solution. Silicone oil is slowly added to the mixture to extract the polymer from its solvent. The polymer rich liquid phase encapsulates the dispersed protein drug, leading to the formation of embryonic microspheres that are subsequently washed with heptane and subjected to hardening. Diphtheria toxoid and decapeptyl depot have both been encapsulated through this method.96,97

Both phase separation and emulsion particle formation occur in the liquid phase, as opposed to spray drying that occurs in a stream of hot air or on the solid ethanol. To reduce the harsh stress of the process, spray drying with carbon dioxide can be performed at room temperature and is known as supercritical fluid (SCF) extraction.98 Briefly, the process involves concentration of protein solution as water is extracted by the carbon dioxide. The protein and other constituents are precipitated as a result of the increasing concentration and the dissolution of the SCF in the solution. The particles formed are dried by extraction of the remaining solvent with SCF.99

Generally, proteins encapsulated by the emulsion or phase separation method are vulnerable to aggregation, denaturation, oxidation, and cleavage, particularly at aqueous–organic solvent interfaces, which may lead to a loss of activity for the encapsulated protein. Newer modifications involving the addition of excipients including stabilizers (e.g., albumin), surfactants, or bulking agents (e.g., trehalose and mannitol) to the protein phase are under investigation to help maintain protein stability.97 Modification of the PLGA polymer itself can also help to improve the stability, release profile, and potentially facilitate drug targeting. It has been demonstrated that increases in the hydrophilicity of PLGA polymers by addition of hydrophilic moieties such as PEG led to an increase in the stability of protein. Various thermosensitive and triblock copolymers of PLGA may provide alternative means of achieving better formulation characteristics.100

Rationale of PLGA Microspheres

PLGA derivatives are biocompatible, biodegradable, and can provide release rates on the magnitude of days, weeks, or months. Their high tensile strength, thermoplasticity, and proven nontoxicity in conjunction with the possibility of regulable release profiles, crystallinity, degradation rates, and hydrophilicity make PLGA microspheres a viable protein delivery vehicle. They are also easily administered via injection. Several macromolecular drugs such as protein and peptide therapeutics, vaccines, and antigens have been successfully incorporated into PLGA microspheres.91,101,102 The favorable characteristics of the vehicle itself must be balanced against the negative effects on protein stability during preparation and storage. Degradation of PLGA leads to accumulation of lactic and glycolic acids within the
drug delivery device, thereby causing a significant reduction in pH of the surrounding microenvironment which can in turn facilitate denaturation of encapsulated protein, aggregation, and loss of activity. True zero order release from the PLGA devices can also be difficult as release is proportional to surface area, a property that will fluctuate with erosion of the vehicle.

Several new polymers derived from PLGA have been developed in attempts to resolve issues with protein stability, degradation, and loading. Harmful reactions that may occur in the process of PLGA formulation, such as deamination and thiol-catalyzed disulfide exchange, can be addressed by adding assorted excipients to act as glass formers, altering the protein structure, or by protecting the native protein conformation. Co-encapsulation of surfactant poloxamer 188 and pluronic F127 are being explored for their modulating effect on the erosion rate of the PLGA polymer.

**Preclinical and Clinical Development of PLGA Microspheres for Protein Delivery**

Encapsulation of proteins into PLGA particles can improve the pharmacokinetic profiles of the drugs and subsequently reduce the required frequency of administration. Generally microparticles are given by i.m. or s.c. routes where as nanoparticles are given via the i.v. route. Primary successes of PLGA have come from smaller peptides and protein molecules.

Jeong et al. developed a thermo-gelling biodegradable formulation made of PLGA and PEG moieties. A single injection of PLGA/PEG graft copolymers containing insulin controlled blood glucose levels from 5 to 16 days in diabetic rats with the duration a function of polymer compositions. This same thermogelling formulation, when used for chondrocyte cell delivery, showed remarkable improvement of cartilage defects as compared to the control formulation. In other reports, triblock copolymers including hydrophilic PEG incorporated into PLGA have been used for encapsulation of several proteins including cytochrome c, ovalbumin, and tetanus toxoid (TT) producing between 72% and 92% encapsulation.

Insulin like growth factor I (IGF-1) is currently under investigation for use in neurodegenerative illness. IGF-1 and its binding protein IGFBP-3 are both induced by human growth hormone (hGH). When hGH encapsulated in PLGA microspheres was administered to rhesus monkeys by a single s.c. injection, it elevated hGH, IGF-1, and IGFBP-3 serum concentrations for more than 1 month. This enhanced effect was superior to that observed in animals receiving daily injection of un-encapsulated hGH. Sustained delivery is important for improving patient compliance as well as in maintaining the hGH levels in deficient children who require daily injection of recombinant hGH for several years.

PLGA–PEG encapsulated IGF-1 has also seen utility in the de novo generation of adipose tissue in vivo. Encapsulated IGF-1 provided long-term release of protein and was capable of inducing adipogenic differentiation to mature lipid containing adipocytes from nonadipocyte cell pools in vivo. In other studies with recombinant Human epidermal growth factor (rHEGF), PLA coated microspheres showed significantly improved healing of gastric ulcers. When administered as single s.c. injections in male Sprague-Dawley rats, the gastric ulcer healing effect was increased by 1.44-fold compared to twice daily s.c. injections of rhEGF saline solution and was observed for almost 11 days after administration.

Even though all these different strategies led to the development of different PLGA polymeric derivatives including diblock, triblock, multi-block and star shaped block with PEG, there is still a need for exhaustive studies. Success in preclinical settings has demonstrated the versatility of PLGA systems, but before translation to the clinical setting can occur unanswered issues with regards to toxicological, proof of concept, and degradation mechanisms need to be resolved.

**OTHER NOVEL POLYMERIC DELIVERY APPROACHES**

Beyond PEGylation and glycosylation, other compounds are being explored for polymeric conjugation and delivery of protein therapeutics such as polyamino acid polymers (e.g., polyglutamic acid (PGA), N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide copolymer (HPMA), and hybrid modified PEG polymers) and are well covered in the literature elsewhere. Polymers of amino acids have shown great promise for protein delivery. Palmez’s Medusa polymer, made of glutamic acid and vitamin E, is one such vehicle that is amphiphilic in nature and forms nanoparticle in water. Currently various several protein–polymer compounds are at various stages of clinical trials and have demonstrated long acting sustained release of these protein drugs. These include insulin (FT-105), interferon α-2b (IFN alpha-2b XL) and interleukin (IL-2 XL). The preclinical studies at Flamel have also demonstrated that administration of growth hormone and interferon beta with Medusa polymer yield a longer acting therapeutic than just free protein drug alone. Similarly, hybrid PEG polymers, such as protein grafted copolymers (PGC®), have been explored for their applicability for protein drug delivery. Currently, PharmaIN is conducting preclinical studies with glucagon like peptide-1 using...
this PGC technology. Hydroxyethyl starch (HES) is a modified polymer made from natural maize starch. Natural starch is comprised of amylopectin fibers that form HES polymers after acid hydrolysis followed by hydroxyethylation of glucose residues. This polymeric delivery technology offers prolongation of circulation half-life by increasing the stability of the molecule and decreasing renal clearance, resulting in increased biological efficacy. Fresenius Kabi, a European Pharma company, is applying HESylation technology to various protein drugs including EPO and G-CSF, and has shown improved pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties.

**LIPID DRUG DELIVERY**

Lipid drug delivery is another area that has shown great promise for use with therapeutic proteins. As a whole, lipid delivery encompasses liposomes, solid lipid nanoparticles, oily suspensions, submicron lipid emulsions, lipid implants, lipid microbubbles, inverse lipid micelles, cochlear liposomes, and lipid microtubules, and lipid microcylinders (Fig. 3A). The most remarkable advantage of lipid drug delivery is the flexibility to form different types of lipid drug delivery vehicles based upon the molecular structure of the lipids used in the composition. Natural or synthetic phospholipids tend to form bilayer vesicle called liposomes, whereas high melting point fats of natural or synthetic origin forms a solid hydrophobic core having a monolayer of phospholipid coating dubbed a solid lipid nanoparticle. Lipid cochleates can be formed by the combination of liposomes made from negatively charged lipids such as phosphatidylserine (PS) with divalent counter ion (e.g., Ca$^{2+}$) and are packed spiral shaped lipidic structures with little aqueous space. Other lipid products such as oily suspensions can be produced for sustained release of proteins or peptides by dispersing proteins in natural or synthetic oils and lipid micelles or microtubules/microcylinders can be produced by crystallizing surfactants into the natural or synthetic lipids.

**Figure 3.** Liposome structures and modifications. (A) Common structural arrangements for lipid based drug delivery vehicles. (B) Schematic of the structure of a typical liposome with several of the potential covalent modifications depicted.
Among these delivery systems, liposomes, triglyceride emulsions, and micellar systems have been used for parenteral administration of small molecule therapeutics for decades and discussed extensively in the literature, including dedicated theme issues.\(^{118,121}\) Since the pioneering observation over 40 years ago by Alec Bangham that phospholipids in aqueous systems forms closed vesicles, liposomes have been at the forefront of lipid drug delivery. Subsequent discussion in this section will primarily focus on liposomes and lipidic particles, but the principles remain widely applicable. Clearly it is impossible to address all pertinent issues, so focus will be placed on some key issues, including achievements, challenges and limitations of liposomes/lipid particles in protein and peptide delivery.

**Liposomal Formulation: Principles and Process**

Liposomes are spherical, self-closed structures formed by one or more concentric lipid bilayers with an encapsulated aqueous phase in the center and between the bilayers. Liposomes can be prepared through a number of methodologies, but most of them focus on the hydration of dried lipid films in an aqueous environment. After rehydration, size may be regulated by extruding the liposomes through membranes of a given pore size. Liposomes are characterized in terms of size, number of bilayers, and surface charge. Primary classifications are: (i) small unilamellar vesicles around 100 nm in size formed by a single bilayer. (ii) Large unilamellar vesicles range in size from 200 to 800 nm. (iii) Multilamellar vesicles which range around 500–5000 nm and consists of several concentric bilayers (Fig. 4).\(^{52}\) Surface charge is dictated by the individual phospholipids that comprise the liposome.

Generally therapeutic proteins of interest can be either encapsulated within the liposome or chemically conjugated to the surface groups. Figure 3B depicts the structure of a unilamellar liposome with potential protein association and additional modifications. Table 4 shows the association efficiency of some example proteins in liposomes and in solid lipid nanoparticles.\(^{122}\) Passive encapsulation can be achieved by incubating protein or peptide with the liposomes at or slightly below the transition temperature of lipids used in the preparation. Active loading of protein or peptide drug, also called triggered loading, may be achieved by subjecting liposomes to increased temperature in the presence of protein in ethanolic buffer and gentle swirling for a specific duration. This method is easy to perform, fast, and normally results in higher encapsulation efficiency.\(^{122}\) Generally proteins are expected to reside in the aqueous core, but exposed hydrophobic regions may interact with the lipid membrane. Such protein lipid interactions generally retain bioactivity of the protein.\(^{124}\)

Conjugation of proteins directly to the surface of liposomes was first investigated using glutaraldehyde or 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide (EDC), but sophisticated chemistries have since been developed that include selective bi-functional coupling agents and have been widely discussed elsewhere in the literature.\(^{125,126}\) Mostly these conjugation reactions are based on three reactions that are quite efficient and selective: (i) formation of amide bonds between amino groups and activated carboxyl groups, (ii) formation of disulphide bonds between pyridyldithiols and thiols, and (iii) formation of thio ether bonds between maleimide derivatives and thiols.\(^{127,128}\) These conjugation reactions prompted the evolution of traditional liposome into more advanced forms that include: (i) immunoliposomes that are conjugated to antibodies or antibody fragments,\(^{129,130}\) (ii) stealth liposomes associated with PEG that form a protective layer preventing recognition by opsonins and slowing clearance,\(^{52,131,132}\) (iii) long circulating immunoliposomes coated with both a protective polymer as well as antibody,\(^{52,132}\) and (iv) next generation liposomes that allow modification of surface by a number of compounds, either alone or in concert, including stimuli sensitive lipids, polymers, cell penetrating peptides, diagnostic agents, etc.\(^{52,133}\)

Release rate of the drug, in vivo stability, and biodistribution can all be regulated by controlling the size, surface charge, surface hydrophobicity, and membrane fluidity of the vesicles.\(^{124}\) Formulation of peptides and proteins with liposomes alters the
pharmacokinetic profile of these therapeutic molecules and also improve their therapeutic efficacy (in a broad sense) by overcoming the various challenges that limit the use of the parent agents.

**Rationale of Liposomes/Lipidic Particle**

As we have discussed, proteins and peptides, owing to their surface charge distribution and large size, have a limited ability to cross the cell membranes. Repeated injections of protein drugs over the long therapeutic periods are one factor that contributes to immunogenicity. Incorporation of proteins and peptides into the liposomal formulation helps to circumvent these limitations. Most of the phospholipids used in the formation of liposomes are biocompatible and protect encapsulated peptide/proteins from the inactivating effects of aggregation during storage or any other physical inactivation during product handling before administration to the patient without any added adverse effects. The amphiphilic nature of phospholipids, more specifically the subsequent formation of an aqueous core and hydrophobic bilayer, make them suitable for use with protein therapeutics displaying a wide variety of biophysical characteristics. The primary advantage offered by lipid particles or liposomes is to avoid the need for covalent attachment or modification of protein drug, thus preventing the subsequent loss of activity that poses the major limitation for PEG and PSA attachment.

Liposomes, either alone or with additional targeting groups, offer the opportunity to deliver proteins directly into cells or even inside individual cellular compartment. Although less of an issue with stealth liposomes designed to avoid opsonization, many liposomes are rapidly phagocytosed by the reticuloendothelial system (RES). If not taken into account, this can result in rapid clearance of the liposomes from blood. Liposomal size was found to be the most important factor influencing lymphatic uptake and lymph node localization of s.c. administered liposomes. For a long time, adjusting the size of liposomes was the primary means of regulating their in vivo characteristics. Further advances in experimenting with different phospholipid compositions and preparation methods have made it easier to accommodate different needs by controlling size, charge, and surface properties of the liposomes.

The combination of lipid vehicles with additional excipients offers numerous advantages but use of these additives in parenteral formulations has posed considerable challenges to both pharmaceutical and regulatory scientists. More mechanistic studies investigating pharmacological characteristics of lipids, their interactions with protein/peptide drugs, and their behavior in the physiological environments are in progress and will help in addressing regulatory issues. Over the years, United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has worked diligently on the development of standards and regulations for liposomal delivery and in 2001 published a draft guidance document for industry.

**Preclinical and Clinical Development of Lipidic Delivery for Proteins**

The beneficial effects of liposomes have been applied to a wide variety of proteins. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) is an enzyme that protects from the effects of superoxide anion, a cytotoxic agent used during phagocytosis. Encapsulation of SOD into liposomes has been demonstrated to increase activity, prolong circulation, and decrease membrane peroxidation in various regions of the brain. Liposomes were shown to improve the anti-inflammatory activity of SOD in comparative studies between different liposomal formulations (PEG-liposomes, stearylamine (SA)-liposomes) and free SOD in an arthritic rat model. Both PEG-liposomes and SA-liposomes showed a greater therapeutic activity compared to free SOD.

Liposome encapsulated asparaginase improved the survival of animals with asparaginase dependent P1534 tumors and reduced generation of neutralizing antibodies against the enzyme when compared with free asparaginase. Liposomal formulation of tissue plasminogen activating factor demonstrated the same degree of lysis compared to a nearly four-fold higher dose of native enzyme when injected in rabbits with jugular vein thrombosis. Incorporation of recombinant IL-2 into liposomes increased the plasma circulation time eight-fold as compared to the native IL-2 when injected subcutaneously in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein/Peptide</th>
<th>Solid Lipid Nanoparticle</th>
<th>Association Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Production Procedure</th>
<th>Liposomes</th>
<th>Association Efficiency (%)</th>
<th>Production Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bovine serum albumin</td>
<td>Hot HPHa technique</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Reversal evaporation</td>
<td></td>
<td>25–71</td>
<td>Reversal evaporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcitonin</td>
<td>w/o/w double emulsion</td>
<td>75–90</td>
<td>Dry lipid hydration</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Freeze-thawing extrusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human recombinant Epidermal Growth Factor (EGF)</td>
<td>Microemulsion technique</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insulin</td>
<td>w/o/w double emulsion</td>
<td>27–68, 35–45</td>
<td>Reverse phase</td>
<td></td>
<td>30–82</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. The Association Efficiency in Solid Lipid Nanoparticles and Liposomes (Adapted from 122)**
Further studies with the liposomal preparation of IL-2 found it to be significantly more effective than free IL-2 alone at inhibiting the experimental metastases of M5076 in mice. Muramyl dipeptide (MDP), an immunomodulator, significantly reduced the number of metastastic colonies in the liver when incorporated in mannosylated liposomes compared to the free MDP treatment, which showed only modest inhibition of metastasis. Liposomal delivery has also been used for targeted delivery of insulin to the liver. Orally delivered insulin containing phosphatidylethanol liposomes in rats showed highly variable but sustained suppression of glucose levels. When PEGylated insulin was administered iv to rats, insulin was slowly released and steady state concentrations were sustained for extended periods of time.

Phospholipids such as phosphatidylserine (PS) or phosphatidylinositol (PI) can play an important role in improving the PK of the protein drug and reducing antibody response. PS has been reported to induce secretion of transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β), an anti-inflammatory cytokine that can inhibit antigen specific CD4+ T-cells and B-cells, thereby reducing the immunogenicity of the encapsulated protein. O-Phospho-l-serine (OPLS), a phospholipid with a PS head group, interacts with recombinant human FVIII (rFVIII) via the protein's lipid binding domain to form a FVIII-lipid complex that exhibits improved stability and reduced aggregation. Further studies in hemophilia A mice showed that this FVIII-OPLS lipid complex also decreased immunogenicity compared to the free form. Binding of rFVIII to PS liposomes showed increased stability and reduced immunogenicity when administered subcutaneously. Such formulations may serve particular utility in s.c. administration by protecting the protein from degradation at the site of injection.

PI containing lipidic nano particles offer a multifunctional delivery strategy to improve safety and efficacy of protein therapeutics. Since immunogenicity is influenced by several factors such as aggregation of the protein, administration frequency and processing by antigen presenting cells, multifunctional approaches are very effective in reducing immunogenicity. PI improves stability of FVIII, reduces immunogenic response against protein in Hemophilia A mice and prolongs the circulation time (unpublished results). Inclusion of PI in liposomal formulations resulted in lower uptake by the RES system. It has been also demonstrated that PI affects the steps of T-cell activation and thus serves as immunomodulator.

Liposome can be PEGylated to prolong the circulation time. Incorporation of PEG into the lipid component of liposome vehicles provides an advantage over PEGylation of the protein itself. Since PEG is not covalently attached to the protein any loss in activity or binding affinity of protein to the receptor is avoided.

The plasma circulation time and clotting efficacy of rFVIII formulated in a PEGylated liposome (PEGl-FVIII) was significantly enhanced as compared to free FVIII as observed in hemophilic and nonhemophilic mice. Hemophilic mice displayed plasma circulating half-life increase of 1.5-fold (6.5–10 h) versus free FVIII while nonhemophilic mice possessed plasma concentrations of (PEGl-FVIII) significantly higher than free FVIII even after 24 and 30 h postinjection. A PEGylated PS containing liposomal formulation of rFVIII exhibited much lower inhibitory anti-rFVIII antibody titers and increased AUC by 1.5-fold (36–59 IU/mL h) compared to rFVIII alone. Bayer Biological Products Division has recently investigated a PEGylated liposome for creating a longer acting FVIII (BAY 79-4980). The results from preclinical models confirm earlier reports of an increase in half-life from 6.5 h for free FVIII to 10 hrs for BAY 79-4980 and early clinical trials have shown a prolonged time to the next bleeding episode by nearly double that of patients receiving free FVIII. In vitro and in vivo studies with a FVIII–cochleate complex have also demonstrated that the molecular interaction between FVIII and PS may provide a basis for the design of novel FVIII lipidic structures for delivery applications.

New applications for liposomes continue to emerge, such as antibody directed enzyme prodrg therapy (ADEPT) based on the site specific activation of chemically modified inactive phospholipid derivatives of various anticancer and antiviral agents. The benefits of using phospholipid prodrugs are covered elsewhere in the literature. Liposomes are also widely used in gene delivery, siRNA delivery, aerosol delivery, and in various diagnostic imaging applications. Numerous phase I and phase II studies have been conducted for safety and efficacy of liposomes in the management of tumors and data from all these clinical trials demonstrated that liposomes are safe and efficacious in a wide variety of tumors and patient population.

GUIDANCE FOR THE SELECTION OF THE TECHNOLOGY

At this stage there are no simple predictors that will guarantee the best delivery strategy such as which polymer to conjugate a given protein or whether liposomal delivery will be warranted. Here we propose some rationale to help narrow the possibilities, but it is the opinion of authors that each protein should be handled on a case by case basis with dedicated studies.
Various factors such as molecular weight, size, and availability of surface groups to link number of chains per molecule play an important role for the choice between covalent modification or the use of drug delivery vehicles for the respective protein. PEGylation may be preferred if the protein is small in size and rapidly removed by renal clearance. The molecular weight cutoff for glomerular filtration of globular proteins is around 60 kDa but closer to 30 kDa for PEGylated proteins, so low molecular weight proteins stand to benefit the most from the reduced renal clearance and improved half-life conferred by PEGylation. If the protein is large in size, labile and/or requires extensive macromolecular interaction for its biological activity, PEGylation should be attempted with caution as it can lead to substantial loss of activity. The cost to benefit ratio cannot be overlooked if PEGylation leads to drastic decrease in the activity, as the increased concentration of proteins required may not be cost effective. Further, for protein containing more conjugation sites on the surface, care should be taken as PEGylation can lead to heterogeneity in the conjugation and establishing lot–lot consistency will be problematic. Molecular modeling and three-dimensional structure of the protein could be used to engineer conjugation sites. PEGylated proteins are largely regarded as safe and are already in the market. However, chronic administration of PEGylated proteins may lead to an accumulation of PEG within cells and form kidney vacuoles as an unintended consequences of therapy. In some instances anti-PEG antibody have been observed.

Both the protein molecule and intended therapy should be considered when choosing a delivery strategy. If the protein is intended for the antigen delivery or for sustained release and a stable protein that can handle harsh preparation procedure such as exposure to organic solvents, then PLGA microsphere will be useful. The intrinsic stability and conformational stability in organic solvents can be investigated for a protein using biophysical and biochemical studies in simulated conditions to help in decision-making process.

For labile, larger proteins and for targeted delivery, lipid particles offer distinct advantages. The particle preparation and loading procedures are mild, allowing for retention of the proteins' biological activity. Lipid particles are biodegradable and generally considered safe, as proven by currently marketed formulations. Lipid particles made of PS and PI offer multifunctional delivery strategies for reduction in immunogenicity and can prolong circulation half-life of protein therapeutics. Further, use of PS containing lipid particles may offer advantages for s.c. delivery of proteins. The robust loading procedure offers the possibility of bed side loading, requiring no modification or changes to active ingredient. Lipid particle are amenable for freeze-drying to meet shelf-life requirements. Liposome formulations can be easily manufactured and scale up of the technology is feasible. Bulk lipids produced according to GMPs are available from various vendors such as Avanti polar and Avastin.

CONCLUSIONS

When compared to the small molecule drugs, therapeutic proteins and peptides need special formulation strategies to become viable therapeutic protein products. As discussed above, various forms of micro/nanoparticulate drug delivery systems have been explored for the second generation protein and peptide delivery. These systems come in different sizes, shapes, and compositions. The more promising candidates are being extensively investigated for utility in drug delivery, including but not limited to pegylated protein products, liposomes, pegylated liposomes, microspheres, nanocapsules, macromolecular aggregates, etc. In the future we can expect an increasing number of second generation protein products to incorporate these formulation approaches. In addition to drug delivery, liposomes, PEGylation, hyperglycosylation, and PLGA microspheres are being explored for various other applications such as diagnostic imaging, targeted delivery, gene delivery, and siRNA delivery. As discussed in this review, each of these formulation strategies has their own advantages and disadvantages. Some of these technologies have successfully made to market, but delivery of required therapeutic concentration of protein or peptide product to its site of action, specifically when the target is outside of the systemic circulation, without any substantial adverse reactions is still a challenge to overcome. Progress can be achieved by further scientific research into these technologies, which will lead to a much improved second generation of protein therapeutics that will provide safer, more efficacious drugs, site-specific delivery, improved patient compliance, and favorable clinical outcomes.
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